Dr. Robert Erard contributed the following listings focused on forensic and other issues:

McCann, J.T. (1998). Defending the Rorschach in court: An analysis of admissibility using legal and professional standards. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 70,* 125-144.

Reply to above:

Grove, W.M. & Barden, R.C. (1999). Protecting the integrity of the legal system: The admissibility of testimony from mental health experts under Daubert/Kumho analyses. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 5,* 224-242.

Reply to above:

Ritzler, B., Erard, R. & Pettigrew, G. (2002). Protecting the integrity of Rorschach expert witnesses: A reply to Grove and Barden (1999) Re: The admissibility of testimony under Daubert/Kumho Analyses. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 8(2),* 201-215.

Rejoinder to above:

Grove, WM, Barden, RC, Garb, HN, & Lilienfeld, SO. (2002). Failure of Rorschach-Comprehensive - System-Based Testimony to be Admissible Under the Daubert-Joiner-Kumho Standard. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 8(2), 216-234*.

Rejoinder to above:

Ritzler, B., Erard, R. & Pettigrew (2002). A final reply to Grove and Barden: The relevance of the Rorschach Comprehensive System for expert testimony. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 8(2),* 235-246.

Hamel, Gallagher, & Soares. (2001). The Rorschach: Here we go again. *Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice*, *1*(3), 79-88.

Reply to above:

Wood, J. M., Nezworski, M.T., Stejskal, W.J, & McKinzey, R.K. Problems of the Comprehensive System for the Rorschach in forensic settings: Recent developments. *Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice*, *1*(3), 89-103.

Gacono, C.B. (2002). Introduction to a special series: Forensic psychodiagnostic testing. *Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice*, 2(3), 1-10.

Gacono, CB, Evans, FB, & Viglione, DJ (2002). The Rorschach in forensic practice. *Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice*, *2*(3), 33-53.

Additional issues:

Wood, J., Nezworski, M., Garb, H., & Lilienfeld, S. (2001). The misperception of psychopathology: Problems with the norms of the Comprehensive System for the Rorschach. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice, 8*, 350-373.

Reply to above:

Meyer, G. (2001). Evidence to correct misperceptions about Rorschach norms. *Clinical Psychology: Science and practice, 8,* 389-396.

Ganellen, RJ (2001). Weighing evidence for the Rorschach's validity: A response to Wood et al. (1999) [referring to Wood, Nezworski, Stejska., Garven & West, in Assessment, 6, 115-129]. Journal of Personality Assessment, 77(1), 1-15.

Gacono, CB, Loving, J.L., & Bodholdt, R.H. (2001). The Rorschach and psychopathy: Toward a more accurate understanding of the research findings. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 77*(1), 16-38. Bornstein, RF (2001). Clinical utility of the Rorschach Inkblot Method: Reframeing the debate. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 77*(1), 39-47.

Reply to above:

Wood, J., Lilienfeld, S., Nezworski, M. & Garb, H. (2001). Coming to grips with negative evidence for the Comprehensive System for the Rorschach: A comment on Gacono, Loving & Bodhold; Ganellen, and Bornstein. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 77(1), 48-70.